INFLUENCE OF INDIGENEOUS KNOWLEDGE ON THE ADOPTION OF SCHOOL-BASED EDUCATION AMONG KENYAN MAASAI: IMPLICATIONS FOR CURRICULUM REFORM

EGERTON UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

BY ALEXANDER K. RONOH

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE SCHOOL IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION OF EGERTON UNIVERSITY

EGERTON UNIVERSITY
OCTOBER 2008



2009/78958

DECLARATION AND APPROVAL

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

This thesis is my original work and has not been presented for a degree in any other University

Signed Date 15/10/2008

Alexander K. Ronoh, B.Ed (Nairobi), M.Ed (Kenyatta)

APPROVAL BY THE SUPERVISOR

This thesis has been presented for examination with my approval as University Supervisor

Signed Plum Date 1915 08

Prof. Lois Wanjiku Chiuri

85687/600

COPY RIGHT

© 2008 A.K. Ronoh / Egerton University. All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be produced or transmitted in any form, mechanical, photocopying or electronic without the permission of the author or Egerton University except, with acknowledgement, for brief quotations in books, journal articles, reviews or research reports.

DEDICATION

To my late father, Mr William Ketienya, with fond memories

ABSTRACT

This study investigated how Indigenous Knowledge (IK) of the Kenyan Maasai influenced the adoption of school-based education (SBE) in that society during the colonial and post colonial periods. The study addressed IK and SBE as they have occurred among the Maasai of Narok District. Pertinent objectives and research questions guided the investigations. A review of the literature indicated a paucity of studies in the field of IK. Most of the available research reports simply claimed that the Maasai were resistant to change because of their culture. None of these studies examined the influence of indigenous knowledge as a factor in Maasai resistance to change in general and to modern education in particular. The study was carried out within the frameworks of three theories. These theories were Structural-functionalism theory that emphasised societal insistence on maintenance of status quo in their affairs; Articulation of Modes of Production theory, which focuses on economic and productive activities; and Culture theory, which maintains that in every society there exist learned biases that have immense effect on the rate of social change. This research study used qualitative approach to investigate the major problem. To a lesser extent, descriptive statistics were used to gain more insights into the pertinent issues that could not be explained from the qualitative data. The data for the study was therefore gathered using tools that were credible and amenable to this type of study. These tools included interview schedules, observation records, and documentary sources. The data thus collected was analysed and interpreted in the light of the objectives of the study and research questions.

When analysed, the data revealed that IK of the Maasai may be classified into three major domains Social/recreational knowledge, Economic/productive knowledge; and Ecological/environmental knowledge. The analysis of the data also established that Kenyan Maasai have not participated fully in SBE because of entrenched IK, which they find more appropriate to the needs, aspirations and interests. The study discovered that the values, skills and attitudes acquired through IK have negatively influenced Maasai attitudes to SBE. The results of this study imply that there is a need to reform the school curricula offered to Kenyan Maasai by incorporating or infusing certain aspects of IK. Such a reform would lead to more acceptance of SBE among the Maasai as the curricula would be relevant to their lives. The study recommends such a reform because countries like Bolivia in Latin America have successfully incorporated IK in their curricula, leading to better acceptance of SBE.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Successful completion of a work of this magnitude is impossible without God's guidance and the assistance, understanding and co-operation of many individuals and institutions. Consequently, I owe many forms of gratitude. Firstly, I thank God for enabling me to complete this work despite the many challenges I encountered. Secondly, I wish to express my profound gratitude and appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Prof. Lois Wanjiku Chiuri for guidance, constructive criticism and constant encouragement. Many thanks are also due to the Office of the President of the Republic of Kenya for issuing a Research Permit, and the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology for renewing it. I am equally indebted to Egerton University's Board of Post Graduate Studies and my family for providing research funds.

I also thank administration and education officials in Narok District for their valuable assistance. I am especially grateful to the then Narok District Commissioner, Mr. Joseph Kimiywi, for writing introductory letters to District Officers in whose areas of jurisdiction I carried out the research. I am also grateful to Chiefs and Area Education Officers in Loita and Olokurto Divisions for facilitating this research work in various ways. Special thanks are due to Mr. Laban Munene, the then acting Deputy District Education Officer in Narok District for showing a lot of interest in my work and offering immeasurable assistance.

I am also grateful to all my respondents particularly secondary school focus groups as well as primary and secondary school headteachers, for their co-operation in providing the bulk of the field data. Many thanks are also due to my research assistants: Mr. Alex ole Tikani and Miss Naomi ene Kosen at Olokurto Site as well as Mr. Stanley ole Kone, Mr. Salankat ole Meriki and Miss Francisca ene Baari at Morjo Site, Loita. All of them did their work with enthusiasm and diligence. Very special thanks for hospitality go to my friends, Mr and Mrs Vincent ole Ntekerei, in whose home I stayed while gathering field data at the Morijo Site in Loita Division.

I am equally indebted to the staff of various resource centres for their invaluable assistance. I wish to single out for special mention the staff of the Kenya National Archives in Nairobi, the National Museums of Kenya in Nairobi and Narok, Egerton University libraries at Njoro and Laikipia Campuses, the University of Nairobi and Kenyatta University libraries.

I cannot forget to thank friends and colleagues who became fountains of encouragement and focus by engaging me in very helpful academic discussions in relation to study and research work in general. These included: Dr C. K Chepkwony, Dr J. N. Bosire, Dr A. K. Sang, Prof. A. M. Sindabi, Dr J. K. Kiboss, the late Prof. Ong'ondo, and Dr M. K.Rotich. To all of you, I say thank you very much.

Especial thanks go to members of my family. I am grateful to my parents, Mrs Rebecca Ketienya and the late Mr William Ketienya, for the sacrifices they have made towards my scholastic journey and for being incessant sources of encouragement. Finally, I wish to express affectionate thanks to my wife Mrs Edina Ronoh, and our children: Hilda, Faith, Felix, Lioba, Edith and Anthony, for readily allowing me to commit family resources to this project and for their understanding, tolerance and encouragement.

EGERTON UNIVERSITY OCTOBER, 2008

A. K. RONOH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION AND APPROVAL
COPYRIGHTii
DEDICATION
ABSTRACTiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS
TABLE OF CONTENTSx
LIST OF TABLESxv
LIST OF FIGURESxv
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION
1.0 Background Information
1.1 Context of the Problem4
1.2 Statement of the Problem
1.3 Purpose and Objectives of the Study
14 Research Questions8
1.5 Assumptions of the Study
1.6 Significance of the Study9
1.7 Scope of Study9
1.8 Limitations of the Study10
1.9 Operational Definition of Terms
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW 12
2.0 Introduction
2.1 Indigenous Knowledge (IK): A Global perspective12
2.2 Indigenous Knowledge (IK) in Africa
2.3 IK and SBE among Kenyan Pastoral Societies

Table in Maasai Society
25 Incorporation of IK in SBE
26 Introduction of SBE among Kenyan Maasai
27 SBE and Socio-Economic Changes in Kenya's Maasailand
2.8 Educational Planning and Curriculum Reform
29 Theoretical Framework
29.1 Structural-functionalism Theory
2.9.2 Articulation of Modes of Production Theory
293 Culture Theory
2.10 Summary
CHAPTER THREE
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
3.0 Introduction
3.1 Research Design
32 Study Area and Research Sites
3.3 Sampling Procedures
3.3.1 Secondary School Students' Focus Group
3.3.2 Interview Respondents
3.4 Data Collection Techniques
3.4.1 Interviews
3.4.2 Focus Group Discussions
3.4.3 Direct Observation. 46
3.4.4 Archival, Museum and Library Research
3.5 Data Processing and Presentation
3.5.1 Coding and Collation of Field Data
3.5.2 Analysis and Interpretation of Data48
3.5.3 Presentation and Discussion of the Findings
3.6 Summary
CHAPTER FOUR50
RESEARCH FINDINGS: PART ONE

DEVELOPMENT OF SCHOOL-BASED EDUCATION (SBE) AMONG THE MAASAI,
1911-199850
4.0 Introduction
4.1. Introduction of School-based Education (SBE) among the Maasai
4.1.1 Government Control of SBE in Narok District, 1911—1939
4.1.2 School Curriculum in Narok District from 1918 — 1939
4.2. The Role of Local Native Council (LNC) and
Other Players in the Establishment of Out-Schools
4.2.1 The Role of LNC in the Establishment of Schools
4.2.2 The Role of Christians Churches in the Establishment of Schools
4.2.3 Challenges for SBE in Narok District6
4.2.4 Curricula for SBE
4.3. Post-colonial Development of SBE and
Policy Issues in Narok District, 1964—199880
4.3.1 Post-colonial Improvements in
Instructional and Leadership Skills in Narok District86
4.3.2 Gender Issues and School Enrolment in Narok District
4.4 Summary
RESEARCH FINDINGS: PART TWO. 10-
INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE (IK) AND ITS INFLUENCE
ON THE ADOPTION OF SBE AMONG THE MAASAI104
4.5 Introduction
4.6. Social/Recreational Knowledge Domain
4.6.1 Indigenous Maasai Games
4.6.2 Riddles
4.6.3 Proverbs
4.6.4 Oral Narratives
4.6.4.1 Myths
4.6.4.2 Legends
4.6.4.3 Folk Tales
4.6.5 Songs and Dances
4.6.6 Oral History

4.5.7 Religion
4.5.8 Etiquette
4.5.9 Puberty Changes. 136
4.6.10 Initiation Rites
4.6.10.1 Girls' Initiation
4.6.10.2 Boys' Initiation
4.6.11 Murranism
4.7 Economic/Productive Knowledge Domain
4.7.1 Animal Husbandry
4.7.2 Domestic Work
4.7.3 Crafts and Trade
4.8 Ecological/Environmental Knowledge Domain
4.8.1 Geography
4.8.2 Wildlife and Hunting
4.8.3 Pasture and Browse Identification and Utilisation
4.8.4 Curative Arts
4.9 Philosophy of Learning in Maasai IK
4.9.1 Indigenous Maasai Instructors and Modes of Instruction
4.9.1.1 Telling/Listening
4.9.1.2 Imitation
40.12.01
4.9.1.3 Observation
4.9.1.3 Observation
4.9.1.4 Participation
4.9.1.4 Participation
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning177
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning1774.9.2.2 Interactive Learning178
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning1774.9.2.2 Interactive Learning1784.9.2.3 Evaluation of Learning in the Maasai Society179
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning1774.9.2.2 Interactive Learning1784.9.2.3 Evaluation of Learning in the Maasai Society1794.10 Implications for Curriculum Process Reform180
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning1774.9.2.2 Interactive Learning1784.9.2.3 Evaluation of Learning in the Maasai Society1794.10 Implications for Curriculum Process Reform1804.10.1 Curriculum Reform180
4.9.1.4 Participation1774.9.2 Indigenous Learning and Evaluation in the Maasai Society1774.9.2.1 Self Directed Learning1774.9.2.2 Interactive Learning1784.9.2.3 Evaluation of Learning in the Maasai Society1794.10 Implications for Curriculum Process Reform1804.10.1 Curriculum Reform1804.10.2 Implications for Curriculum Planning and Instruction181

CHAPTER FIVE
MARY, CONLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
£3 Introduction
5.1 Summary of the Study189
51.1 Context of the Problem
5.1.2 Literature Review
5.1.3 Research Methodology
5.1.4 The Findings
52 Conclusions of the Study
53 General Recommendations
5.4 Specific Recommendations
5.5 Suggestions for Further Research
REFERENCES. 199
APPENDICES. 213
APPENDIX I- INTERVIEW GUIDE PARTS I & II- FOR ELDERS, YOUTHS, S OCIO-
CULTURAL LEADERS AND SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDESTS'
FOCUS GROUPS213
APPENDIX II – INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR EDUCATIONAL LEADERS:-
HEADTEACHERS, AREA EDUCATION OFFICERS AND DISTRICT
EDICATION OFFICERS
APPENDIX III- INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR CHIEFS AND COUNCILLORS
APPENDIX IV- DISCUSSION GUIDE FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS'
FOCUS GROUPS223
APPENDIX V-OBSERVATION RECORD FORM
APPENDIX VI- RESEARCH AUTHORIZATION230