

**MORPHO-PHYSIOLOGICAL BASES OF DROUGHT TOLERANCE IN
BREAD WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum* L.) AND MODELLING FOR SEMI
ARID AREAS OF KENYA**

KIMURTO PAUL KIPROTICH

EGERTON UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

A Thesis submitted to Graduate School in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Agronomy (Crop Physiology) of Egerton University

X

EGERTON UNIVERSITY

SEPTEMBER, 2008

EULIB



027 017

ABSTRACT

Breeders have traditionally applied methods where grain yield comparison is used as the main selection criterion for drought tolerance. The approach has often succeeded in the absence of in-depth knowledge about morpho-physiological bases for superior performance of existing germplasm. This study therefore was aimed at identifying morpho-physiological and biochemical bases of drought tolerance in bread wheat and the possibility of their use as indirect selection to augment yield-based selection procedures; and to also predict productivity of wheat in semi-arid Kenya. Experiment one involved evaluation of 16 wheat genotypes in 3 sites (Katumani, Naivasha and Mogotio) for four seasons using Randomized complete block design (RCBD). Experiment II and III involved evaluation of 12 out of 16 genotypes under rain shelter at KARI, Njoro by simulation drought during seedling and reproductive stages, respectively. Moisture applied ranged from 210-320 mm. RCBD in split plot design was used with water regimes as main plot and genotypes as subplots. Experiment IV evaluated the 12 genotypes for drought responses under growth chamber in Berlin Germany. Parameters measured included biomass, seedling vigour, yield and yield components, evapotranspiration (ET), photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration efficiency, water use efficiency, total non-structural carbohydrates, protein content, chlorophyll fluorescence and electron transport. Data was analyzed using analysis of variance and means separated using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT). The findings showed that overall variability in grain yield between the 4 growing seasons (3sites) ranged between 7% to approximately 400%, which was mainly accounted for by differences in seasonal precipitation confirming the importance of rainfall for higher wheat yields in drylands. In Kenya, marginal rainfall wheat growing areas can be classified into three distinct environments corresponding to; severe and early drought stress, severe terminal reproductive-stage drought stress to moderate intermittent. Test genotypes R960, Chozi, R965, Duma, Km14 and R963 had greater yield across years and sites compared to Heroe, Km20, Km15 and R917. Several traits mainly, early vigour, high biomass accumulation, reduced tiller abortions, greater seed number, high water use efficiency for grain, harvest index, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, reduced chlorophyll fluorescence and high electron transport contributed in minimizing the effects of drought. Their use as selection criterion in wheat breeding programme is recommended. The developed model fairly predicted the productivity of wheat and is therefore recommended to be used to predict performance of wheat in drylands, since it would finally reduce the costs of carrying out dryland research in Kenya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	(ii)
DEDICATION.....	(iii)
COPYRIGHT.....	(iv)
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	(v)
ABSTRACT.....	(vi)
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	(xi)
LIST OF TABLES.....	(xiii)
LIST OF FIGURES.....	(xvi)
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	(xviii)
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.0. GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General adaption of wheat.....	1
1.2 Global importance of wheat.....	1
1.3 Wheat production in Kenya.....	2
1.4 Drought stress and world-wide wheat production.....	3
1.5 Nature of drought stress.....	3
1.6 Wheat breeding for drought tolerance in Kenya.....	4
CHAPTER TWO.....	6
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Definitions.....	6
2.2 Drought and its management.....	6
2.3 Morphological traits associated with drought stress at different growth stages.....	7
2.3.1 Growth vigour and biomass accumulation.....	7
2.3.2 Tiller development.....	8
2.3.3 Yield and yield components.....	8
2.4 Physiological traits associated with drought tolerance.....	10
2.4.1 Plant water relations.....	10
2.4.2 Gaseous exchange variables.....	10
2.5 Biochemical traits affected by drought stress.....	12
2.5.1 Non-structural carbohydrates.....	12
2.5.2 Free Proline concentration.....	13
2.6 Selection indices under drought stress conditions.....	13
2.7 Crop simulation models.....	15
2.8 OBJECTIVES.....	17
2.9 HYPOTHESES.....	17
CHAPTER THREE.....	18
EXPERIMENT ONE.....	18
3.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	18
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	19

3.2.1	Experimental sites.....	19
3.2.2	Experimental treatments.....	23
3.2.3	Cultural practices.....	23
3.2.4	Measurements.....	24
3.2.5	Data analysis.....	25
3.3	RESULTS.....	25
3.4	DISCUSSIONS.....	36
3.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	42
CHAPTER FOUR.....		43
EXPERIMENT TWO.....		43
4.0	RESPONSES OF BREAD WHEAT GENOTYPES TO SEEDLING STAGE DROUGHT STRESS UNDER RAIN SHELTER.....	43
4.1	Introduction.....	43
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	44
4.2.1	Site description.....	44
4.2.2	Plant material.....	44
4.2.3	Experimental treatments.....	44
4.2.4	Cultural practices.....	46
4.2.5	Measurements.....	46
4.2.5.1	Plant growth and development, yield and yield components.....	46
4.2.5.2	Soil moisture and crop water use.....	47
4.2.6	Response of wheat genotypes to water regime.....	48
4.2.7	Data analysis.....	48
4.3	RESULTS.....	49
4.3.1	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on biomass accumulation, leaf and tiller growth and seedling vigour.....	49
4.3.2	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on Evapotranspiration (ET).....	54
4.3.3	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on biomass at maturity.....	54
4.3.4	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on grain yield and harvest index (HI).....	56
4.3.5	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on yield components.....	56
4.3.6	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on soil moisture extraction.....	60
4.3.7	Crop-water relations and yield response factor (ky).....	64
4.4	DISCUSSIONS.....	66
4.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	74
CHAPTER FIVE.....		75
EXPERIMENT THREE.....		75
5.0	RESPONSES OF TEST WHEAT GENOTYPES TO DROUGHT STRESS DURING REPRODUCTIVE STAGE.....	75
5.1	Introduction.....	75
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	75
5.2.1	Experimental treatments.....	75
5.2.2	Measurements.....	76

5.3	RESULTS.....	77
5.3.1	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on biomass accumulation, leaf and tiller growth and seedling vigour.....	77
5.3.2	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on Evapotranspiration (ET).....	82
5.3.3	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on total biomass.....	82
5.3.4	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on grain yield and harvest index (HI).....	84
5.3.5	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on yield components.....	85
5.3.6	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on biochemical traits.....	89
5.3.6.1	Water soluble carbohydrates (WSC) or total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC).....	85
5.3.6.2	Protein content.....	91
5.3.7.	Effects of water regimes and wheat genotypes on soil moisture content.....	91
5.4.	DISCUSSIONS.....	95
5.5.	CONCLUSIONS.....	103
CHAPTER SIX.....		104
EXPERIMENT FOUR.....		104
6.0	RESPONSES OF PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAITS TO DROUGHT STRESS IN BREAD WHEAT GENOTYPES.....	104
6.1	Introduction.....	104
6.2.	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	105
6.2.1.	General description of experiments.....	105
6.2.1.1	Experiment I (Field experiments).....	105
6.2.1.2	Experiment II and III (Seedling and reproductive stage drought simulation).....	106
6.2.2.3	Experiment IV (Growth chamber experiment).....	107
6.3.	General data analysis.....	109
6.4.	RESULTS.....	109
6.4.1.	Experiment I: Field measurements of physiological parameters.....	109
6.4.2.	Effects of moisture stress on seedling growth stage under rain shelter.....	112
6.4.3.	Effects of moisture stress on reproductive stage moisture stress under rain shelter.....	114
6.4.4.	Physiological measurements under growth chamber (Expt IV).....	116
6.4.4.1	Gas exchange measurements.....	116
6.4.4.2	Chlorophyll fluorescence and electron transport.....	118
6.5.	DISCUSSIONS.....	120
6.6.	CONCLUSIONS.....	127
CHAPTER SEVEN.....		128
7.0	ANALYSIS OF CORRELATION, REGRESSION, STABILITY AND HERITABILITY OF MEASURED TRAITS.....	128
7.1	Introduction.....	128
7.2.	METHODOLOGY.....	129
7.3.	RESULTS.....	131
7.3.1.	Correlation analysis.....	131
7.3.4	Principal component Analysis (PCA).....	138
7.3.5.	Heritability estimates of measured traits.....	141

7.3.5.	Drought stress Susceptibility Index (DSSI) and stress tolerance index (STI).....	143
7.3.6.	Stability analyses of test genotypes across varied growing conditions.....	144
7.4.	DISCUSSIONS.....	145
7.4.1	Interrelationship between measured traits and principal components.....	145
7.4.2	Heritability estimates between measured traits.....	148
7.4.3	Stability analysis.....	149
7.4.4	Drought tolerance indices.....	149
7.5.	CONCLUSIONS.....	151
CHAPTER EIGHT.....		152
8.0	CROP CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR PREDICTING PRODUCTIVITY OF BREAD WHEAT IN SEMI-ARID KENYA.....	152
8.1	Introduction.....	152
8.2.	Theoretical aspects of the Model	155
8.3	METHODOLOGY.....	156
8.3.1	Inputs of the Model.....	156
8.4.	Calculations and assumptions.....	157
8.5.	RESULTS.....	162
8.5.1	Predicted wheat productivity using ET _a from Rain shelter.....	162
8.5.2	Predicted wheat productivity using ET _a obtained from Katumani.....	164
8.6	DISCUSSIONS.....	166
8.7	CONCLUSIONS.....	168
CHAPTER NINE.....		169
SUMMARY OF RESULTS, CONLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		169
REFERENCES.....		171
APPENDICES.....		186